BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

TONYA KAY MCCARTER a.k.a. TONYA KAY WHITFIELD 2110 Crystal Cove Way San Marcos, CA 92069

Registered Nurse License No. 516131

Respondent

Case No. 2012-165

OAH No. 2011100206

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on August 23, 2012.

IT IS SO ORDERED August 23, 2012.

Raymond Mallel, President

Board of Registered Nursing

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS								
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER								
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ANTOINETTE B. CINCOTTA								
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 120482								
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101								
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	,							
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2095 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	•							
8	Attorneys for Complainant								
	BEFORE THE								
9	BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS								
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA							
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2012-165							
12	TONYA KAY MCCARTER,	OAH No. 2011100206							
13	AKA TONYA KAY WHITFIELD 2110 Crystal Cove Way	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF							
14	San Marcos, CA 92069	LICENSE AND ORDER							
15	Registered Nurse License No. 516131								
16	Respondent.								
17									
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGE	REED by and between the parties in this							
19	proceeding that the following matters are true:								
20	<u>PARTIES</u>								
21	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of								
22	Registered Nursing (Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is								
23	represented in this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by								
24	Antoinette B. Cincotta, Deputy Attorney General.								
25	2. Tonya Kay McCarter, aka Tonya Kay Whitfield (Respondent) is representing herself								
26	in this proceeding and has chosen not to exercise her right to be represented by counsel.								
27	3. On or about September 22, 1995, the Board issued Registered Nurse License No.								
28	516131 to Tonya Kay McCarter, aka Tonya Kay Whitfield (Respondent). The Registered Nurse								
Ŀ	1								

8

10 11

12 13

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

2.7 28 4.

2012-165 and will expire on February 28, 2013, unless renewed.

Accusation No. 2012-165 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on September 20, 2011. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 2012-165 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No.

JURISDICTION

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 2012-165. Respondent also has carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a 6. hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 2012-165, 8. if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon her Registered Nurse License.
- For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation and that those charges constitute cause for discipline.

Respondent hereby gives up her right to contest that cause for discipline exists based on those charges.

10. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation she enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of her Registered Nurse License without further process.

CONTINGENCY

- 11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Registered Nursing. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Registered Nursing may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

28 | ///

///

2.7

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Registered Nurse License No. 516131 issued to Tonya Kay McCarter, aka Tonya Kay Whitfield, is surrendered and accepted by the Board.

- 1. The surrender of Respondent's Registered Nurse License, and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board, shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board of Registered Nursing.
- 2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a registered nurse in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 3. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board her pocket license and, if one was issued, her wall certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 4. If Respondent ever files an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in effect at the time the petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 2012-165 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the petition.
- 5. If and when Respondent's license is reinstated, she shall pay to the Board costs associated with its investigation and enforcement pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3 in the amount of \$2,107.50. Respondent shall be permitted to pay these costs in a payment plan approved by the Board. Nothing in this provision shall be construed to prohibit the Board from reducing the amount of cost recovery upon reinstatement of the license.
- 6. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 2012-165 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

	of the state of th						
1	7. Respondent shall not apply for licensure or petition for reinstatement for two (2)						
2	years from the effective date of the Board of Registered Nursing's Decision and Order.						
3	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>						
4	I have carefully read the Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I understand the						
5	stipulation and the effect it will have on my Registered Nurse License. I enter into this Stipulate						
6	Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound						
7	by the Decision and Order of the Board of Registered Nursing.						
8	DATED: 0/11/12 DONATED: 11/1/12						
9	TONYA KAY MCCARTZR, AKA TONYA KAY WHITFIELD						
10	Respondent						
11	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>						
12	The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted						
13	for consideration by the Board of Registered Nursing of the Department of Consumer Affairs.						
14	Dated: 6 12 2012 Respectfully submitted,						
15	KAMALA D. HARRIS						
16	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER						
17	Supervising Deputy Attorney General						
18							
19	ANTOINETTE B. GINCOTTA Deputy Attorney General						
20	Attorneys for Complainant						
21							
22	SD2011800612						
23	80573227.doc						
24							
25							
26							
27 28							
40	d · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 2012-165

4	Washing D. Hannya						
1.	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California	,					
2	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General						
3	ANTOINETTE B. CINCOTTA	•					
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 120482						
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101						
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266						
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2095 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061						
8	Attorneys for Complainant						
	BEFORE THE						
9	BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS						
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
11		2.210 4/5					
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2012 -165					
13	TONYA KAY MCCARTER, AKA TONYA KAY WHITFIELD	ACCUSATION					
14	2110 Crystal Cove Way San Marcos, CA 92069						
15	Registered Nurse License No. 516131						
16							
17	Respondent.	•					
18	Complainant alleges:						
19	PARTIES						
20	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her						
21	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing (Board),						
22	Department of Consumer Affairs.						
23	2. On or about September 22, 1995, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered						
24	Nurse License Number 516131 to Tonya Kay McCarter, also known as Tonya Kay Whitfield						
25	(Respondent). The Registered Nurse License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to						
26	the charges brought herein and will expire on February 28, 2013, unless renewed.						
27	111						
28	· ///	,					

Accusation

///

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

- 4. Section 2750 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 5. Section 2764 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Under section 2811, subdivision (b) of the Code, the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 2761 of the Code states:

The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- (a) Unprofessional conduct . . .
- 7. Section 2762 of the Code states:

In addition to other acts constituting unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act], it is unprofessional conduct for a person licensed under this chapter to do any of the following:

(b) Use any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022, or alcoholic beverages, to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to himself or herself, any other person, or the public or to the extent that such use impairs his or her ability to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by his or her license.

- (a) The board shall establish criteria for the acceptance, denial, or termination of registered nurses in the diversion program. Only those registered nurses who have voluntarily requested to participate in the diversion program shall participate in the program.
- (b) A registered nurse under current investigation by the board may request entry into the diversion program by contacting the board. Prior to authorizing a registered nurse to enter into the diversion program, the board may require the registered nurse under current investigation for any violations of this chapter or any other provision of this code to execute a statement of understanding that states that the registered nurse understands that his or her violations that would otherwise be the basis for discipline may still be investigated and may be the subject of disciplinary action.
- (c) If the reasons for a current investigation of a registered nurse are based primarily on the self-administration of any controlled substance or dangerous drug or alcohol under Section 2762, or the illegal possession, prescription, or nonviolent procurement of any controlled substance or dangerous drug for self-administration that does not involve actual, direct harm to the public, the board shall close the investigation without further action if the registered nurse is accepted into the board's diversion program and successfully completes the requirements of the program. If the registered nurse withdraws or is terminated from the program by a diversion evaluation committee, and the termination is approved by the program manager, the investigation shall be reopened and disciplinary action imposed, if warranted, as determined by the board.
- (d) Neither acceptance nor participation in the diversion program shall preclude the board from investigating or continuing to investigate, or taking disciplinary action or continuing to take disciplinary action against, any registered nurse for any unprofessional conduct committed before, during, or after participation in the diversion program.
- (e) All registered nurses shall sign an agreement of understanding that the withdrawal or termination from the diversion program at a time when the program manager or diversion evaluation committee determines the licentiate presents a threat to the public's health and safety shall result in the utilization by the board of diversion treatment records in disciplinary or criminal proceedings.
- (f) Any registered nurse terminated from the diversion program for failure to comply with program requirements is subject to disciplinary action by the board for acts committed before, during, and after participation in the diversion program. A registered nurse who has been under investigation by the board and has been terminated from the diversion program by a diversion evaluation committee shall be reported by the diversion evaluation committee to the board.

9. Section 2770.11 of the Code states:

(a) Each registered nurse who requests participation in a diversion program shall agree to cooperate with the rehabilitation program designed by the committee and approved by the program manager. Any failure to comply with the provisions of rehabilitation program may result in termination of the registered nurse's participation in a program. The name and license number of a registered nurse who is terminated

for any reason, other than successful completion, shall be reported to the board's enforcement program.

(b) If the program manager determines that a registered nurse, who is denied admission into the program or terminated from the program, presents a threat to the public or his or her own health and safety, the program manager shall report the name and license number, along with a copy of all diversion records for that registered nurse, to the board's enforcement program. The board may use any of the records it receives under this subdivision in any disciplinary proceeding.

COST RECOVERY

10. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

DRUGS

- 11. Dilaudid, sold generically as hydromorphone, is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code Section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(K) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. Dilaudid is a narcotic analysesic prescribed for the relief of moderate to severe pain.
- 12. Vicodin, a brand name for acetaminophen and hydrocodone, is a Schedule III controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code Section 11056, subdivision (e)(4), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 13. Soma, sold generically as carisoprodol, is a Schedule IV controlled substance under Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(17), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. Carisoprodol is metabolized in the liver by CYP2C19 to form meprobamate.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

14. Respondent self-referred to the Board's Nursing Diversion Program administered by Maximus (the Program) on or about December 30, 2010, after her former employer confronted her about stealing Diluadid from the hospital where she worked, which Respondent admitted doing. Respondent resigned her employment in lieu of disciplinary action. Respondent enrolled with the Program on January 6, 2011, and she was immediately suspended from nursing.

- DSM/AXIS I diagnosis of opioid and alcohol dependence. Respondent signed a Preliminary Agreement, effective January 6, 2011, which contained specific criteria that must be met in order for Respondent to successfully complete the Program. Among other requirements, Respondent was to submit to the random collection of body fluid samples for analysis, and abstain from the use of over-the-counter drugs, alcohol, or mind altering drugs except as prescribed by a licensed healthcare provider and approved by Maximus. Respondent was given specific instructions regarding the collection of urine specimens, and that the levels of her creatinine and specific gravity must be within acceptable ranges in order to allow the effective monitoring of Respondent's drug and alcohol usage. Any new or currently prescribed medications required that the scripts be provided to Maximus within 24 hours of the prescription being written. Respondent was warned that any issues of non-compliance with the Program would be reported to the Board, which could then result in disciplinary action against her license.
- 16. In a random body fluid test conducted on February 1, 2011, Respondent was non-compliant in that her test results indicated that her creatinine levels were below the acceptable range. Respondent was admonished in a letter dated February 4, 2011, to follow the contract's guidelines.
- 17. In a telephone conversation with her case manager on February 15, 2011, Respondent advised her case manager that she would be undergoing oral surgery. Respondent was told that she would need to complete a pain management plan with her dentist if her oral surgery included opiate pain medications.
- 18. In a telephone conversation with her case manager on March 23, 2011, Respondent stated that her dentist prescribed her four tablets of Valium (for pre-surgery), and Vicodin for post-oral surgery pain. Respondent was directed to provide her case manager with a detailed relapse prevention plan as well as documentation from her dentist regarding the start date and stop date of using Vicodin. The oral surgery was scheduled for March 30, 2011.

28 | ///

- 19. In a random body fluid test conducted on March 28, 2011, Respondent was non-compliant in that her test result was out of range. Respondent was admonished in a letter dated March 30, 2011, to follow the contract's guidelines.
- 20. On or about March 30, 2011, Maximus mailed to Respondent the "Diversion Program Recovery Terms and Conditions Agreement Effective Date March 17, 2011." Respondent was directed to sign and return the contract within 10 days of the mailing date. Respondent failed to return the contract.
- 21. In a telephone conversation with her case manager on April 1, 2011, Respondent reported that she took Valium before and during her oral surgery. Respondent stated that she took her last Vicodin tablet the previous day, March 31, 2011. She was also prescribed an oral mouth rinse containing alcohol.
- 22. In a random body fluid test conducted on April 6, 2011, Respondent tested positive for hydrocodone and benzodiazepines, as expected.
- 23. In a telephone conversation with her case manager on April 11, 2011, Respondent reported that she was still taking Vicodin for pain due to a post-op infection. Her case manager advised Respondent that she needed to taper off the Vicodin and use Motrin instead.
- 24. In a telephone conversation with her case manager on April 12, 2011, Respondent reported that she refilled her prescription for Vicodin for an additional 24 tablets (11 days after she reported her last tablet of Vicodin was taken on March 31, 2011) because of ongoing mouth pain. Respondent was reminded again to return her relapse prevention plan.
- 25. In a random body fluid test conducted on April 12, 2011, Respondent tested positive for hydrocodone, as expected. Respondent also tested positive for meprobamate, a metabolite of Soma, a medication not on Respondent's list of approved medications.
- 26. In a telephone conversation with her case manager on April 18, 2011, Respondent was notified of her positive drug screen for hydrocodone and meprobamate/Soma. Respondent denied using Soma, but stated that a nurse friend gave her an Advil. Respondent then told her case manager that she had a pinched nerve in her back that might need further attention and

possible opiate pain relief. Respondent was advised that her sobriety date was reset and that any further positive drug screens would mandate a 30-day inpatient treatment program.

- 27. In a telephone conversation with her case manager on April 22, 2011, Respondent was told that if she quits the Program, she would be reported to the Board of Registered Nursing and her case would be closed as public safety risk. Respondent agreed to stay in the Program. Respondent told her case manager that she learned her nurse friend gave her a tablet of Soma instead of Advil. Respondent reported that she took her last tablet of Vicodin on April 21, 2011. Respondent then informed her case manager that she was having severe back issues. Her case manager advised that if Respondent's medical problems required that she take unapproved medications, Respondent's case would be closed until her health problems were resolved.
- 28. In a telephone call to her case manager on April 23, 2011, Respondent reported that she saw her orthopedist on April 22, 2011, and was prescribed Vicodin for her neck. Respondent was due to conduct a drug screen that day and she was concerned. Respondent was directed to submit medical documentation from her doctor and a copy of the Vicodin prescription as soon as possible. Respondent suggested that she might be better served by closing her case for medical reasons because she would not be able to provide negative tests due to her pain issues.
- 29. In a random body fluid test conducted on April 23, 2011, Respondent tested positive for hydrocodone, as expected. Respondent again tested positive for meprobamate/Soma. The half-life of Soma is indicated to be 10 hours.
- 30. In a telephone call with her case manager on April 25, 2011, Respondent admitted that she failed to immediately contact the Program when she was given a new prescription for 40 more tablets of Vicodin by the orthopedist, and that she waited until she had to provide a urine sample for testing before notifying her case manager. Respondent was told that because she was taking Vicodin for three weeks following oral surgery, then went to another doctor and got a new prescription for Vicodin after the previous prescription expired, she was exhibiting relapse behavior and that her case would not be closed for medical reasons. Respondent was told that she could not continue her medication-seeking behavior and that she needed to find alternate therapeutic ways to manage her back pain. Respondent was again warned that she could be

28 | ///

mandated for inpatient treatment or terminated from the Program as a public safety risk if her behavior continued. Respondent agreed to go back to her doctor and seek alternative pain management.

- 31. On April 29, 2011, after learning of the positive screen for Soma, Respondent's case manager notified the Diversion Evaluation Committee (DEC) and Respondent's Nurse Support Group (NSG) facilitator and it was agreed that Respondent would be required to attend a 30-day inpatient treatment program. The case manager left a message with Respondent regarding her new mandated treatment plan.
- 32. On April 29, 2011, Respondent contacted her case manager and admitted that she obtained a prescription for Soma at the same time her orthopedist gave her the prescription for Vicodin (on April 22, 2011). Respondent argued that she has severe neck pain and that she needs medical intervention. Respondent said that she could not go to treatment because she had a vacation planned. Respondent was told that she had seven days to decide whether to enter a residential program or her case would be closed.
- 33. On May 2, 2011, Respondent called her case manager to report that she would be going to a "skanky" inpatient program at Spencer Recovery Center in Laguna Beach. Respondent reported that she relapsed all weekend on alcohol and Xanax because of the whole situation. Respondent was directed to place the case manager's name on her consent forms when she checks into the recovery center on May 6, 2011, so that her attendance could be verified.
- 34. On May 3, 2011, Respondent reported to her case manager that she just found out she has three herniated discs and that she had been referred to a neurologist. The case manager told Respondent that she still needed to deal with her addiction issues, but Respondent was adamant that she had not relapsed. Respondent was reminded about her out-of-range test results, her lies concerning her Vicodin use and the prescription for Soma, her use of alcohol and Xanax over the weekend to alleviate stress, and that she missed a test because she knew it would be "dirty." Respondent was told that opiate pain relief is not an option for long-term treatment, especially for an addict. Respondent was advised that should she elect surgery, she should still attend a

treatment program to develop coping skills so that she does not go into a full-blown relapse when she has to take pain medications again.

- 35. In a telephone call to her case manager on May 4, 2011, Respondent stated that she could not go to substance abuse treatment because she has spinal compression and that she had too many medical problems. ("I may not be able to walk in my lifetime.")
- 36. Following the telephone conversation with Respondent, her case manager contacted Respondent's NSG facilitator and the Board of Registered Nursing to inform them that Respondent's case was going to be closed as a failure to derive benefit from the Program. Based on the Board's recommendation, on May 4, 2011, the DEC members voted in agreement to terminate Respondent as a public safety risk due to her relapse behavior, her failure at sobriety, and her poor recovery skills.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Termination from the Nursing Diversion Program as a Public Safety Risk)

37. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a) of the Code in that Respondent failed to comply with the Board's Nursing Diversion Program, as she agreed to do in her contract. As a result, on or about May 5, 2011, Respondent was formally terminated from the Program as a public safety risk, under section 2770.11 of the Code, because she presents a threat to the public and/or her own health and safety, as detailed in paragraphs 14-36, above.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Dangerous Use of Controlled Substances)

38. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2762, subdivision (a) of the Code in that Respondent's use of controlled substances and alcoholic beverages are in a manner dangerous or injurious to herself, any other person, and the public, and such use has impaired Respondent's ability to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by her nursing license, as detailed in paragraphs 14-36, above.

28 | ///

2.2

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

39. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about December 4, 1987, in a prior criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Tonya Kay Whitfield*, in Kern County Superior Court, case number BM377279A, Respondent was convicted of violating Vehicle Code sections 23152, subdivision (a), driving under the influence of alcohol. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was sentenced to three years summary probation, and ordered to perform two days public work service, complete a drinking driver program, and pay fees and fines in the amount of \$871.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 516131, issued to Tonya
 Kay McCarter;
- 2. Ordering Tonya Kay McCarter to pay the Board of Registered Nursing the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

2	Taking such		C41				am d
3.	Laking siich	otner and	Turiner	action as	s aeemea	necessarv	and brober.
~•	1 9111115 00011	Ouror wire	1000 0000		711100		

DATED: September 20, 2011 Jourse K. Sher LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., RN

LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., RN

Executive Officer
Board of Registered Nursing

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

SD2011800612